

# **Rubric for the Written Thesis<sup>1</sup>**

Providing brief comments will give additional feedback to the student as well as inform the post-defense deliberation among committee members.

A student who attains *honors* will typically receive a rating of at least *satisfactory* (4) on each of the dimensions below and on the rubrics connected with other facets of the thesis and defense. This is not an inviolable rule. The post-defense discussion should carefully consider the range and pattern of ratings, the rationale behind each committee member's ratings, and the relative importance of each dimension. Likewise, the ratings of a student who receives *highest honors* are almost always all *outstanding* (6).

#### 1. Research question or creative challenge

Unacceptable		Marginal	Satisfactory		Outstanding	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
<ul> <li>Unique research question/issue/creative challenge identified</li> <li>Goals/objectives/hypotheses are explicit</li> <li>Historical and contemporary contexts, assumptions/biases, or ethical considerations are identified</li> <li>Thesis presented is within an academic framework</li> </ul>						
Comments:						

#### 2. Methodology/approach: development

Unacceptable		Marginal	Satisfactory		Outstanding	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
<ul> <li>Methodology/approach is appropriate to disciplinary/interdisciplinary focus</li> <li>Topic is contextualized among sources and materials cited</li> <li>Multiple perspectives are considered</li> <li>Demonstrates understanding of the content, tools, and structures in the field</li> </ul>						
Comments:						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adapted, with permission, from the rubric of the Washington State University Honors College.

## 3. Methodology/approach: implementation

Unacceptable		Marginal	Satisfactory		Outstanding	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
<ul> <li>Quantitative and/or symbolic tools are utilized effectively</li> <li>Evidence is sufficient to address the research question and is well utilized</li> <li>Accuracy and relevance of evidence are appropriately questioned; possible biases are identified</li> <li>Evaluates, analyzes, and synthesizes information</li> <li>Demonstrates understanding of professional standards</li> </ul>						
Comments:						

## 4. Conclusions, implications, and consequences

Unacceptable		Marginal	Satisfactory		Outstanding	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
<ul> <li>Conclusions, qualifications, and consequences, including value of thesis, are presented</li> <li>Significance of what was discovered, learned, or created is demonstrated</li> <li>Assertions are qualified and well supported</li> <li>Demonstrates independent and critical thought</li> </ul>						
Comments:						

## 5. Writing

Unacceptable		Marginal	Satisfactory		Outstanding	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
<ul> <li>Language clearly and effectively communicates ideas</li> <li>Any errors in grammar, spelling, mechanics, and/or punctuation are minimal</li> <li>Organization is clear and effective</li> <li>Sources and citations are used correctly</li> </ul>						
Comments:						

\_\_\_\_\_